# FUNDING CONKITMENT REPORT

Form 471 Application Number: 287782

Funding Request Number: 764355 Funding Status: Not Funded

Services Ordered: Internet Access

Services Provider Mass: SEC Advanced Solutions, Inc.

Contract Number: MIN

Billing Account Number: M/A

Earliest Passible Refective Date of Discount: 07/01/2002

Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2003

Annual Pre-discount Lagunt for Eligible Recurring Charges: \$84.288.00

Annual Pre-discount Lagunt for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: \$15,083.00

Pra-Discount Lagunt for Eligible Non-recurring Charges: \$15,083.00

Pra-Discount Amount: 6100,371.00

Pire-Discount Recentage Approved by the SID, N/A

Discount Per-entage Approved by the SID, N/A

Punding Consistent Decision: 80.00 - Eldding Violation

Punding Consistent Decision: 80.00 - Eldding Violation opening when Approved to the Competitive bidding Violation opening when Approvider (FF) contagt Afformation. Competitive bidding Process as a bidder.



# Universal Service Administrative Company Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator s Decision on Append Puncture Year 2002.

April/22, 2003

Fran Older

Approach Lengthy and Assessment Contest 21 90 Par 4", St. Stite 200-Santa Ana, CA 92705

### Billed Endry Numbers 158261 471 Approximation Number 29776 Funding Request Number(s): 754315, 764324, 764333, 764340, 764341, 764346, 764350, 764353, 764355 Your Consequences Dilled: August 28, 2002

After thorough engage the productive of all sales and facts the School and Libration
Distinct (SLD) to the Universal Service After the School and Company of the Service of the product of the Service of

Funding Regisse Plumber: 764315, 764324 764333, 764340, 764341, 764346, 164355, 764355

In your letter of appeal you have stated that the application was decided becames
your series was listed as the coulded person for a Service Provider (LW
Associates) and the Applicant (Approach) serning and Assessment Centers). You
have ergued that the SLO has 2 different counted persons lighted in its detabases for
LW Associates. The USAC database shows the correct contact persons while the
SLO database informacity shows you. My from the contact persons for
LWA. You further sense that LWA hiled from 498 with USAC on 7/12/2002
which people and the SLO has base with the course of centers persons information
on 3/2/2002, You have requested priority handling of this speed in order to

Bon 125 Commontaines Unic, \$1 South Inches a Read, Wainston, Name I sessiy 071923

09/10/03 14:20

avoid interruption of services for children propering to since college and to avoid untimely and imbudgeted funding by the school die rectifing services. You also eak that the "adding valuation" decirion be reversed and removed from this schools files as they may proposed with funding approval on Familia Year 5 (2002) and he able to submit their Plunding Year 5 application without delay. You assert that the hyphication has elegate exhaustive fielding Roylesses, including full disclosure of all bids and proposals. You centend that at no time was a form 498 (2010) therefore Cortection processed by the service provider on the applicant in include your name as the contact person for the service provider and that A second that she independ for the contact was condition write is the only explanation for the containent one that the independ for applications and administration while it took the SLD all timelines, required for applications and administration, while it took the SLD all timelines, required for applications and administration, while it took the SLD all timelines, required for applications and administration by FLA distribution of the contact of the service provider for the contact of the service provider for the service and the service provider for the service of the service of the service provider for the service of the service

All dispelled is applications and decrementation, while it took the SLD 45 days th make a charge that you believe could have been made by Playfrough phone, flagor e-mail. You again request procity status at the adjust has application was graved grownessated by Playfrough phone, flagor e-mail. You again request procity status at the adjust has surjected grownessated by Playfrough and the surject of the special of the process of the surject of the surjec

who is involved in determining the services sought by the applicant and who is involved in the selection of the applicants service providers, is associated with a service movider that was selected." As the schools committant/contact person is also the contact person for a service growing, from whom the applicant is properties services, all FRNs that are excepted with this from 478 must be denied per program rules. Consequently, the appeal is denied.

PCC rules require applicants to sadd competitive bids and in selecting a service provider to carefully consider all files. FCC rules further require applicants to comply with all applicable suite and lived competitive bidding requirements. In the May 21, 2000 Marson May distance Services, Inc. (Masser Mint) appeals Reminion the PCC uptield SED's decision to damy funding where a MisterMind employee was listed as the contact person on the PCC Form 470 and Massachind participated in the companitive hidding propers imitated by the FCC Form 470.

The FCC reasonant take under those circumstances, the Forms 470 was defective that the Companion of Companion building requirement, and that in the absence, of valid Points 470, the finding requests were properly decied. Persuant in POC midding, this principle applies to injustration on an PCC Form 470 including address, telephone and the minibers. wind are all all direct.

 Constituted interest articiples that apply in competitive blading situations include, interesting the existence of conflictury roles that coint him a competitive at a life printing the existence of competitive advantage. A sometime blading value of printing the services except by the applicant a consultage with a service accept by the applicant and who is involved and applicant a service providers, it associated with a service providers, it associated with a service provider at a service providers. 

If you believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Faderal Communications (Communications) (Tyou believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Facinal Communications Commission (FCC) via United States Found Savidon FCC, Office of the Scientisty, 445-12 Street SW, Washington, DC 20554; Hood, Savidon FCC, Office of the Commission between SW, Washington, DC 20554; Hood, SI, Washington,

See Sa, re Marjor Mand Institut Services (20., CC; Docket \$6-45; ¶ 9 (May 23, 2000).

See 46
See 42: 48 (C.P.A.) \$505(6). (b)

Box 125 Correspondence Unit, \$0.5 and Jeffers & Road, Whitpens, New Jersey 27525
Vist to on loss of any formed and process and the corp.

# Exhibit 6

EXHIBIT A

STATEMENT OF FACTS
And
CERTIFICATION
To
USAC/SLD
Pertaining to e-Rate Program
Funding Yeam 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002

certify that the Information provided on FCC Forms 473 to USAC and/or SLD on any and all a-Rate program funding years identifying me as the Contact Person was an honest mistake in the Improvident of instructions as to whom correspondence and questions should be directed. The Service Provider, LW Associates, misinterpreted the instructions to mean that it should be the Applicant's contact person most familiar with questions person most familiar with

Be advised that as egon as this mistake was brought to my attention in connection with Funding Year 2003 (FYS), it was reported to the Service Provider who then immediately computed the error in both databases at USAC and ELD by filling a Form 498 with USAC. On July 28, 2082, USAC vertified the change was completed and my name was removed from both databases.

Be further advised that the Private Mail plox set up at 6319 University Drive, PMB #418, Irvine, CA, 92812 was opened only for the purpose of expeditious handling of e-flats time-sensitive correspondence and a sele harbor for e-rate checks from the US Treasury. It was not until the leter years in the e-rate program that SLD started pre-notification to Applicants and Service Providers that checks were in the mail. Be assured that all mail directed to me at the address was immediately re-directed to James Carter, the CTO of LW Associates. James Carter is the parson authorized on Form 498 as the efficial contact person for LW Associates. It was simply an honest mistake that is now corrected in your records by filing the Form 498. The address is no longer used by LW Associates and no time was it the physical address for LW Associates. I will continue to use the address on behalf of the Applicant, Approach Learning and Assessment Carters for e-Rate related correspondence. Be assured that it was rever the physical address of any office, and further, at no time has my office been associated with LW Associates.

Se further advised that I am not, and have never been, a consultant to LW Associates. I am an Independent consultant serving s-Rate Applicants (Approach Learning and Assessment Centers in Santa Ans. CA for Funding Years 1938 through 2002; the West Fresno School District in Fresno, CA for Funding Years 2001 and 2002; the Highland Park School District in Detroit, MI for a Good Sameritan Review). I contact Service Providers only when it pertains to s-Rate matters on behalf of Applicants.

Attached is documentation to support the above-referenced Statement of Facts.

Signature: Printed Name:

Company:

Title: Date: Frances B. Older Fran Older

rrun Cidar ConsChars March 20, 2003 EXHIBIT B

STATEMENT OF FACTS
And
CERTIFICATION
TO
USAC/SLD
Pertaining to a-Rate Program
Funding Years 1988, 1989, 2003, 2001, 2002

I certify that the information provided on FCC Forms 473 to USAC and/or SLD on any and all e-Rate program funding years identifying Me. Fran Older as the Contact Person was an honest mistake in the interpretation of instructions as to whom correspondence and questions should be directed. It was my interpretation of the instructions that it should be the Applicant's contact person most familiar with questions pertaining to forms.

Be advised that as soon as this mistake was brought to my attention in connection with Funding Year 2003 (FYS). I corrected the error in both databases at USAC and SLD by filling a Form 488 with USAC. On July 23, 2002, USAC verified the change was completed.

Be further advised that the Private Mail Box set up at \$319 University Drive, PMB \$446, Irvina, CA, 92612 was opened only for the purpose of expeditious handling of e-Rate timesensitive correspondence and a safe harbor for e-rate checks from the US Treesury. It was not until the later years in the e-rate program that SLD started pre-notification to Applicants and Service Providers that checks were in the mail. Be assured that all mail directed to Ms. Fran Older at the address was immediately re-directed to me for processing. This was an honest mistake that is now corrected in your records by filing the Form 498. The address is no longer used by LWAssociates and at no time was it the physical address for LW Associates.

Be further advised that Ms. Fran Older is not now, and has never been, a consultant to L.W. Associates. Ms. Older is a consultant to the Applicant, Approach Learning and Assessment Centers, Sents Ans, CA. (BEA 158852), and, when necessary, contacts this office as it periods to e-Rate matters only on behalf of the Applicant.

Be further advised that I,W Associates has made every effort to uphold the rules and regulations of the e-Rate program in all funding years. The attachments will support the facts mentioned above and will also support the fact that LW Associates refunded \$8,639.10 to USAC/SLD under Contract No. LWA008127 on June 26, 2002 and elso refunded the Applicant their 10% share of costs on the same Contract, the same date, in the amount of \$1,058.50. This evidence is provided to make known to USAC/SLD that LW Associates has cooperated with and applied due diligence to the understanding and implementation of the e-Rate program to the best of our ability.

Signatur <del>a</del>		
Printed Name	JAMES CARTER	
Company/ Organization	LW ASSOCIATES	/ SPIN 143808276
Title -		
Date	26 MAR 03	_
		_

# Exhibit 7

## FCC Form 470 - Schools & Libraries (USAC)



The Universal Service Administrative Company

from: rightest towarcome Righteath Care

Schools & Libraries

About the SLD

#### Applicante

Process Flowchart
Timetable/Deadlines

# Vervice Providere

Conference Calls
Provider Manual
Invoicing
Disbursements

#### Tools

Commitments Search
Data Requests
Form 471 Application
Status
Billed Entity Search
SPIN Search
FRN Extensions

#### **Torme**

Provider Forms

Applicants PIN Request System Apply Online Applicant Forms

# FCC Form 470

St. Main > Applicants > FCC Form 470

# The FCC Form 470 Opens a Competitive Process for the Services Desired

After the technology plan has been developed and the applicant has identified the products and services needed to implement the plan, the applicant submits to the

SLD a Form 470 ("Description of Services Requested and Certification Form") either online or on paper. The SLD posts completed forms on the web site to notify service providers that the applicant is seeking the products and services identified.

Applicants must wait at least 28 days after the Form 470 is posted to the web site and consider all bids they receive before selecting the service provider to provide the services desired. In addition, applicants must comply with all applicable state and local procurement rules and regulations and competitive bidding requirements. A complete description of the requirements associated with the Form 470 can be found in the Form 470 Instructions.

- An applicant cannot seek discounts for services in a category of service on the Form 471 if those services in those categories were not indicated on a Form 470.
- The Form 470 MUST be completed by the entity that will negotiate with potential service providers.
- The Form 470 cannot be completed by a service provider who will participate in the competitive process as a bidder. If a service provider is involved in preparing the Form 470 and that service provider appears on the associated form 471, this will taint the competitive process and lead to denial of funding requests that rely on that form 470.
- The form 470 applicant is responsible for ensuring an open, fair competitive process and selecting the most cost-effective provider of the desired services.
- The applicant should save all competing bids for services to be able to demonstrate that the bid chosen is the most cost-effective, with price being the primary consideration. As with all documents that may be requested as part of an audit or other inquiry, such bids should be saved for at least five years.

Note that once an applicant has signed a multi-year contract in a prior funding year pursuant to a posted Form 470, it need not submit a new Form 470 to be eligible to

#### Related Documents:

- \* Technology Plan
- ₱ FCC Form 470
- FCC Form 471
- Funding Commitment Decision Letter
- FCC Form 486
- ▶ Involcing
- \* Records Retention
- More Information
- FE-Rate Timetable



## Onick fluke

- Reference Area
- <u>Appeals</u> - <u>Élioible Services</u>
- Discount Matrix
- Changes & Corrections
- Waste, Fraud. & Abuse Task Force



#### CONTACTINED

- Contact Us
- Whistleblower
Hotline - Report
Waste, fraud, &
Abuse

#### SITE HELD

- <u>Get Helol</u>
- Site Mao
- Site Tour
- Website Policy

FCC Form 470 - Schools & Libraries (USAC)

Page 2 of 2

apply for discounts on the services provided under that multi-year contract for future funding years.

After the SLD has successfully posted a Form 470 to the web site, the SLD sends the applicant a Form 470 Receipt Notification Letter, which provides important information, including the "Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date," the earliest date the applicant can execute a contract, select a service provider, and sign and submit the Form 471.

Content Last Modified: April 3, 2003

Need help? You can contact us toll free at 1-888-203-8100.

Our hours of operation are 8AM to BPM, Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

Aware of fraud, waste, and abuse, report it to our Whistleblowar Hotline!

# PROOF OF SERVICE BY NEXT-DAY DELIVERY

2 3

1

I. Heather L. Hardy, declare:

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

I am employed in Santa Clara County. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 650 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California 94304-1050. I am readily familiar with Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for next-day delivery by an express mail service. In the ordinary course of business, correspondence would be consigned to an express mail service on this date.

On this date, I served REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF THE DECISION OF THE UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATOR DENYING APPEAL BY INTER-TEL, INC. on the person(s) listed below by placing the document(s) described above in an envelope addressed as indicated below, which I sealed. I consigned the envelope(s) to an express mail service by placing it/them for collection and processing on this day, following ordinary business practices at Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati.

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 9300 East Hampton Dr. Capitol Heights, Maryland 20743

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Palo Alto, California on September 10, 2003.